Appl'n No: 10/533,165

Amdt dated October 10, 2007 Reply to Office action of May 10, 2007

REMARKS

Claims 1-2 and 6-13 are pending in the application. Claims 3-5 have been cancelled.

New claims 12 and 13 have been added. Claims 1 and 12 are in independent form.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1-3 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent

Application Publication 2003/0209655 to Wang ("the '655 reference"). Applicants respectfully

traverse the rejection.

The '655 reference discloses a single core sensor 401 having an elongated optical fiber

410: The sensor 401 includes a pair of plates 471, 472 with one of the plates 471 having ridges

471a that are offset with respect to a plurality of ridges 472a of the second plate 472. When

opposing forces are applied to the plates 471, 472, the opposing ridges 471a, 472a create a series

of micro-bends in the optical fiber 410.

Claim 1 of the above-captioned application claims a multi-layer sensor having an optical

wave guide (18, 42, 60). The optical wave guide (18, 42, 60) defines a structure (52, 82, 104) in

which the optical wave guide (18, 42, 60) is contained. The structure (52, 82, 104) has a front

layer (54, 84, 106) and a rear layer (56, 86), which transmits an external application of force

directly onto the optical wave guide (18, 42, 60). The structure further includes clips (34, 102)

for retaining the optical wave guide (18, 42, 60) in a curved path and ribs (38, 62, 88) for

deforming the optical wave guide (18, 42, 60) in a single plane.

The '655 reference does not disclose clips (34, 102) for retaining an optical wave

guide (18, 42, 60) in a curved path and ribs (38, 62, 88) for deforming the optical wave

guide (18, 42, 60) in a single plane, as required by claim 1 of the above-captioned

application. In the '655 reference, there are no clips for retaining the optical fiber 410 in a

curved path. Rather, the optical fiber 410 is disposed in a straight path until periodic opposing

forces are applied to the plates 471, 472. When the periodic opposing forces are applied to the

plates 471, 472, the ridges 471a, 472a create a series of micro-bends in the optical fiber 410, as

Appl'n No: 10/533,165

Amdt dated October 10, 2007

Reply to Office action of May 10, 2007

shown in Figure 4(c). The series of micro-bends are temporally created to produce long period

gratings in the optical fiber 410 for measuring temperature and/or pressure. However, there are

no clips that retain the optical fiber 410 in a curved path, as required by claim 1 of the above-

captioned application.

It would be appreciated by one of ordinary skill in the art that the clips (34, 102) in the

above-captioned application are different than the ribs (38, 62, 88) in the above-captioned

application. The clips (34, 102) retain an optical wave guide without the need for any other

structural element. The clips (34, 102) and the ribs (38, 62, 88) are distinct structural elements

and the use of both clips (34, 102) and ribs (38, 62, 88) is not shown in the '655 reference.

Claims 2 and 3 depend from claim 1 and, as such, are construed to incorporate by

reference all the limitations of the claim to which they refer, see 35 U.S.C. §112, fourth

paragraph. Thus, claims 2 and 3 must be read as including the limitation of clips (34, 102) for

retaining an optical wave guide (18, 42, 60) in a curved path and ribs (38, 62, 88) for deforming

the optical wave guide (18, 42, 60) in a single plane.

Further, the cited references do not provide any teaching, suggestion, or motivation of

clips (34, 102) for retaining an optical wave guide (18, 42, 60) in a curved path and ribs (38, 62,

88) for deforming the optical wave guide (18, 42, 60) in a single plane. As a result, Applicants

contend that the invention set forth in claim 1 of the above-captioned application would not have

been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention.

Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection of claims 1-3 under 35

U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over the '655 reference be withdrawn.

Claims 6-11 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the '655

reference, as applied to claim 1 above, in view of U.S. Patent 5,913,245 to Grossman ("the '245

reference"). Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection.

Claims 6-11 depend from claim 1 and, as such, are construed to incorporate by reference

all the limitations of the claim to which they refer, see 35 U.S.C. §112, fourth paragraph. Thus,

Appl'n No: 10/533,165

Amdt dated October 10, 2007

Reply to Office action of May 10, 2007

claims 6-11 must be read as including the limitation of clips (34, 102) for retaining an optical

wave guide (18, 42, 60) in a curved path and ribs (38, 62, 88) for deforming the optical wave

guide (18, 42, 60) in a single plane.

Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection of claims 6-11 under 35

U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over the '655 reference, as applied to claim 1 above, in

view of the '245 reference be withdrawn.

New claims 12 and 13 have been added. New independent claim 12 claims a multi-layer

sensor including a first layer extending in a longitudinal direction and including a plurality of

clips mounted therealong, the plurality of clips spaced apart from one another longitudinally and

offset from one another in a lateral direction; an optical wave guide retained solely by the

plurality of clips, the optical wave guide extending through the plurality of clips in a curved path;

and a second layer facing the first layer and selectively transmitting an external application of

force to the optical wave guide, the second layer including ribs for deforming the optical wave

guide towards the first layer in response to an impact in order to change the amount of light

carried per unit of time through the optical wave guide. Claim 12 is not anticipated by or

obvious in view of the cited references.

It is respectfully submitted that this patent application is in condition for allowance,

which allowance is respectfully solicited. If the Examiner has any questions regarding this

amendment or the patent application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fee associated with this

Communication to Deposit Account No. 50-1759. A duplicate of this form is attached.

Respectfully submitted,

Jay S. Paranjpe (Reg. No. 48,486)

Clark Hill PLC

500 Woodward Avenue, Suite 3500

Detroit, MI 48226-3435

(313) 965-8300

Appl'n No: 10/533,165 Amdt dated October 10, 2007 Reply to Office action of May 10, 2007

Date: /0/10/07 Attorney Docket No: 22204-119468